

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.fuspio.gov

**DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003** 

- 40-46		Stanton Carlos Stan	To the second second		and the second second	
APPLICATION NO:	FIL	ING DATE:	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTIORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/074,961	02	2/13/2002	Masaru Sakuma	AMANO A275-DIV	8864	
	7590	10/15/2003		EXAM	MINER	•
Norman P. Se			BEATTY, ROBERT B			
HAYES SOLO		C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	•	
Tucson, AZ				2852		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/074,961	SAKUMA ET AL.					
V	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert Beatty	2852					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o						
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b</li></ol>	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	l be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a ☐ affidavit, b ☐ exhibit, or c ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	٠.						
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u></u>					
0. Other:		MM Best					
		Robert Beatty Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2852					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the inventor's have sent in an affidavit that compares the fatigue strength of a first thin sleeved hollow metal structure having a thickness of 0.06 mm and an outer diameter of 60 mm formed by spinning working and a second thin sleeved hollow metal structure having the same thickness and diameter but formed from electroplating. From the comparison, the electroplated sleeve broke after about 100,000 loading cycles and the spinning worked sleeve failed to break even after 1,000,000. However, this affidavit is not sufficient to overcome the rejection because the claim calls for a Vicker's hardness and it is not seen that applicant's fatigue stress is comparable to the Vicker's hardness of the material. In addition, the applicant's were silent as to the material used for the tests which the examiner believes would effect the Vicker's hardness and/or the fatigue stress of the applicant's tests. Finally,, the affidavit has been executed by the inventors of the instant invention which is less persuasive than from a disinterested party.